

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

SEP 18 2006

ATTEST
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

SEP 25 2006

AUG 31 2006

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

DOCKET NO. 1791
106-1791 VZW

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS
RECORDS LITIGATION**

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-1)

On August 9, 2006, the Panel transferred 15 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* ____ F.Supp.2d ____ (J.P.M.L. 2006). With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Vaughn R. Walker.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Walker.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of August 9, 2006, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Vaughn R. Walker.


This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.

SEP 18 2006

CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION


Jeffery N. Lüthi
Clerk of the Panel

SCHEDULE CTO-1 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS
DOCKET NO. 1791
IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS
LITIGATION

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
FLORIDA SOUTHERN FLS 0 06-60828	Theresa Fortnash v. AT&T Corp.
GEORGIA NORTHERN GAN 1 06-136 GAN 1 06-1289	Mark E. Guzzi v. George W. Bush, et al. Rabbi Steven Lebow, et al. v. BellSouth Corp., et al.
HAWAII HI 1 06-345	Charmaine Crockett, et al. v. Verizon Wireless, et al.
ILLINOIS NORTHERN ILN 1 06-2900	Herbert Waxman v. AT&T Corp.
INDIANA SOUTHERN INS 1 06-847 INS 1 06-932	Travis Cross, et al. v. AT&T Communications, Inc., et al. Travis Cross, et al. v. AT&T Communications, Inc., et al.
KENTUCKY WESTERN KYW 1 06-71	Richard D. Suchanek, III v. Sprint Nextel Corp.
LOUISIANA EASTERN LAE 2 06-2853	D. Clive Hardy v. AT&T Corp.
MICHIGAN WESTERN MIW 5 06-85	Joan Dubois, et al. v. AT&T Corp., et al.
MISSOURI EASTERN MOE 4 06-1113	Claudia Mink v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., et al. Opposed 9/12/06
NEBRASKA NE 8 06-523	Billy Tyler v. AT&T, Inc., et al. Vacated 9/7/06
NEW JERSEY NJ 2 06-2530	Glen Chulsky, et al. v. Cellco Partnership, et al.
NEW YORK EASTERN NYE 1 06-2282	Virginia Shubert, et al. v. George W. Bush, et al. Opposed 9/15/06
NEW YORK SOUTHERN NYS 1 06-313 NYS 1 06-4048 NYS 1 06-4169 NYS 1 06-4193	Center for Constitutional Rights, et al. v. George W. Bush, et al. Opposed 9/15/06 Electron Tubes Inc. v. Verizon Communications, Inc., et al. Sean Basinski, et al. v. Verizon Communications, Inc. Roslyn Payne v. Verizon Communications, Inc.

DIST. DIV. C.A. #

CASE CAPTION

OREGON

~~OR 3 06-274~~ ~~Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. George W. Bush, et al.~~ Opposed 9/15/06

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 06-2193

Mark P. Solomon v. Verizon Communications, Inc.

WASHINGTON WESTERN

WAW 2 06-917

Heather Derosier v. Cingular Wireless, LLC, et al.